organic papers

Received 27 June 2006

Accepted 12 August 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

### Sameer Chavda,<sup>a</sup> Jason Eames,<sup>b</sup>\* Anthony Flinn,<sup>c</sup> Majid Motevalli<sup>a</sup> and Nela Malatesti<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, England, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Kingstonupon-Hull HU6 7RX, England, <sup>c</sup>Onyx Scientific Limited, Units 97-98, Silverbriar, Sunderland Enterprise Park East, Sunderland SR5 2TQ, England, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, J. J. Strossmayer University of Osijek, Trg Sv. Trojstva 3, Osijek 31000, Croatia

Correspondence e-mail: j.eames@hull.ac.uk

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 160 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.032 wR factor = 0.078 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# (-)-(4*R*,5*S*)-4-Methyl-3-[2(*R*)-(4-methyl-phenyl)propionyl]-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one

In the title compound,  $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3$ , formed from enantiomerically pure (+)-(4*R*,5S)-4-methyl-5-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone and racemic 2-(4-methylphenyl)propanoyl chloride, the two carbonyl groups are oriented *anti* to each other, and the methyl group of the (4-methylphenyl)propionyl substituent lies close to the mean plane of the five-membered ring.

### Comment

The title compound is the third in a series of structurally related compounds, introduced in our earlier report (Coumbarides, Eames et al., 2006). With  $R^1 = 4 - (CH_3)C_6H_4$ , the reaction shown in that report yielded the anti-syn and syn-syn diastereomers in 38 and 38% yields, respectively. The title compound, (I), is the anti-syn diastereomer (Fig. 1). In the crystal structure, the conformation of the central portion of the molecule is closely comparable with that in the previously reported derivatives (Coumbarides, Eames et al., 2006; Coumbarides, Dingjan et al., 2006); in the twisted fivemembered ring, atoms C1 and C2 lie, respectively, 0.245 (4) Å above and -0.202 (4) Å below the plane defined by atoms O1, O2, N1 and C3. The carbonyl groups (C3=O2 and C11=O3) are oriented anti to each other, with the torsion angle O3- $C11-N1-C3 = -169.3 (2)^{\circ}$ . The orientation of the 4- $(CH_3)C_6H_4$  substituent resembles most closely that in the 4- $({}^{i}Bu)C_{6}H_{4}$  derivative (Coumbarides, Dingjan *et al.*, 2006), with the C19 methyl group lying close to the mean plane of the fivemembered ring [deviating by 0.138 (8) Å from it] and the torsion angle N1-C11-C12-C13 =  $78.7 (3)^{\circ}$ .



### **Experimental**

The experimental procedure is comparable with that reported previously (Coumbarides, Eames *et al.*, 2006). The actual quantities used for the preparation of (I) were: *n*-butyllithium (15.45 ml, 2.5 *M* in hexanes, 38.6 mmol) and (*R*,*S*)-oxazolidinone (4.89 g, 27.6 mmol) in 60 ml tetrahydrofuran (THF), combined with a solution of (*rac*)-2-(4-methylphenyl)propanoyl chloride (5.02 g, 27.6 mmol) in 10 ml THF. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with light petroleum (b.p. 313–333 K)/diethyl ether (1:1), to give a separable diastereoisomeric mixture in the ratio *anti–syn.syn*, 50:50. The *anti–syn* diastereomer was obtained as

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

## organic papers

colourless crystals (3.39 g, 38% yield, m.p. 341–343 K,  $R_{\rm F}$  0.71 [light petroleum (b.p 313–333 K)/diethyl ether, 7:3]. Spectroscopic analysis:  $[\alpha]_{20}^{20} = -164.5$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K, concentration 0.18 g per 100 ml); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\nu_{\rm max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1779 (C=O), 1710 (C=O); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.36–7.24 (9H, *m*, 9 × CH; Ar and Ph), 5.46 (1H, *d*, *J* = 6.9 Hz, CHO), 5.07 (1H, *q*, *J* = 7.1 Hz, ArCH), 4.65 (1H, *m*, NCHCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.31 (3H, *s*, CH<sub>3</sub>; Ar), 1.46 (3H, *d*, *J* = 7.1 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 0.91 (3H, *d*, *J* = 6.9 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>CHN); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.5 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  174.8 (NC=O), 152.9 (OC=O), 137.7, 137.1, 133.9 (3 × *i*-C; Ar and Ph), 129.7, 129.1, 128.3, 128.3, 126.1 (5 × CH; Ar and Ph), 79.1 (PhCHO), 55.0 (CHN), 43.6 (ArCH), 21.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>; Ar), 19.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 14.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>CHN); found: MH<sup>+</sup> 324.1187; C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> requires 324.1194.

### Crystal data

 $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3$   $M_r = 323.38$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1$  a = 13.066 (8) Å b = 9.509 (8) Å c = 7.201 (4) Å  $\beta = 102.95$  (4)° V = 871.9 (10) Å<sup>3</sup>

### Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction: none 1743 measured reflections 1630 independent reflections

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$   $wR(F^2) = 0.078$  S = 1.061630 reflections 220 parameters H-atom parameters constrained Z = 2  $D_x$  = 1.232 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> Mo Kα radiation  $\mu$  = 0.08 mm<sup>-1</sup> T = 160 (2) K Prism, colourless 0.40 × 0.30 × 0.30 mm

1417 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.010$   $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ 2 standard reflections every 100 reflections intensity decay: 1%

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.04P)^2 \\ &+ 0.0761P] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 0.12 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -0.16 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3} \end{split}$$

H atoms were placed in geometrically idealised positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C–H = 0.95–1.00 Å and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  or  $1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm methyl~C})$ . The methyl groups were allowed to rotate about their local threefold axes. In the absence





The molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atomlabelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms have been omitted.

of significant anomalous scattering effects, the few measured Friedel pairs have been merged. The absolute configuration is assigned on the basis of the known configuration of the starting material (Coumbarides, Eames *et al.*, 2006).

Data collection: *CAD-4-PC* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4-PC*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

We are grateful to the Royal Society and the University of London Central Research Fund for their financial support to JE, and the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service (Swansea) for accurate mass determination.

### References

Coumbarides, G. S., Dingjan, M., Eames, J., Motevalli, M. & Nela, M. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 04035–04036.

Coumbarides, G. S., Eames, J., Motevalli, M., Malatesti, N. & Yohannes, Y. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 04032–04034.

Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4-PC Software. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.